

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/067,429	VISSER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tiffany A Fetzner	2859	

All Participants:

(1) Tiffany A Fetzner.

(2) Attorney George Likourezos.

Status of Application: After-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 November 2004

Time: 3:30pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
claims 1 and 9 as amended in view of the plurality of switches shown in figures 4 and 7.

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative because the terminology of "at least one switch" made the flow of the After-final amended independent claims, confusing since applicant's figures 4 and 7 from which the support for the amendments to the after-final amended claims is drawn shows at least a plurality of swithes. The applicant agreed to permit the examiner to replace the terminology of "at least one switch" with "a plurality of switches" in applicant's after-final amended claims of November 3rd 2003, by examiner's amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance..